

REMARKS

Claims 1-18 are pending in the application, with Claims 1 and 14 being independent claims.

The title of the invention is objected to for being not descriptive.

Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 14-16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Kang (U.S. Pat. No.7,133,691).

Claims 1, 2, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Park et al. (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No.2003/0109232).

Claims 3 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kang in view Hyon (U.S. Pat. No.6,409,112).

Claims 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kang in view Nagai et al. (EP 1383324 A1).

It is gratefully acknowledged that Claims 10 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding the objection to the title of the present application, the above amendments are believed to overcome the objection.

Regarding the rejection of Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Kang, the Examiner states that Kang anticipates each and every element of the claim. Amended Claim 1 teaches a camera lens unit in a portable wireless terminal including a main body and *a folder rotatable about a first axis* with respect to the main body, the camera lens unit comprising *a camera lens rotating about a hinge axis aligned with the first axis*. The camera lens is engaged with a hinge dummy by way of a camera holder, and then is rotated.

Kang discloses a portable telephone comprising a main body 10, a folder 20 rotatable about a first axis A with respect to the main body, and a camera module 30 rotatable about a hinge axis B (FIGs 4 and 5). Figure 5 in Kang explicitly shows that the camera module 30 and the connecting part 26 for the folder 20 are rotated on two distinct and separate rotation axes B and A, respectively. Kang fails to disclose anywhere the alignment between the first axis and the hinge axis taught by Amended Claim 1. Kang even teaches away the axis alignment by dictating “wherein a rotational axis of said camera module is inserted not being aligned with a rotating axis of said folder” (see Kang at independent claims 1 and 14, and col. 4 lines 1-3).

Clearly, Amended Claim 1 structurally differs from Kang.

The above rationale for Amended Claim 1 also similarly applies to Amended Claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) regarding Kang.

Regarding the rejection of Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Park et al., the Examiner states that Park et al. anticipates each and every element of the claim. Amended Claim 1 teaches a camera lens unit in a portable wireless terminal including a main body and a folder, the folder having *a center hinge arm with an opening*, the camera unit comprising *a camera lens disposed in the opening*.

Park et al. discloses a folder-type telephone including a body housing 10 having a first and a second hinge arms 101 and 102, a folder 20 having a center hinge arm 201 located between the inner sides of the first and the second hinge arms 101 and 102, and a camera lens housing 30 installed on an outer side of the second hinge arm 102 (FIGs. 1 and 2, paragraphs 0020, 0022 and 0023). As clearly shown in FIGs 1 and 2 in Park et al., the center hinge arm 201 is externally contiguous (i.e., with no opening on an outer side) and the camera lens housing 30 is installed outside the center hinge arm. Park et al. is silent about *a camera lens disposed in the opening* of a center hinge arm of a folder in a portable wireless terminal taught by Amended Claim 1.

Amended Claim 1 structurally differs from Park et al.

The above rationale for Amended Claim 1 also similarly applies to Amended Claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) regarding Park et al.

In view of the preceding amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all pending claims herein, namely Claims 1-18, are in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicant's attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul J. Farrell
Reg. No. 33,494
Attorney for Applicant

The Farrell Law Firm
333 Earle Ovington Boulevard, Suite 701
Uniondale, New York 11553
(516) 228-3565 - Tel
(516) 228-8475 - Fax

PJF/DGL/mk